

6.3. Relations: Formal Semantics

When providing a semantic interpretation for predicate letters in the previous chapter, we began with a domain of discourse populated by one or more objects, and then provided each predicate letter in the model with a (possibly empty) set of those objects to ‘apply’ to.

\mathbb{D} : { **NEKO, LUCRETIA, JACK** }

a: **NEKO** b: **JACK** c: **LUCRETIA**

G^1 : { **LUCRETIA** } I^1 : { **NEKO, LUCRETIA** }

H^1 : { **NEKO, JACK** } J^1 : { }

So if “ G^1 ” stands for “is human”, “ H^1 ” for “is a cat”, “ I^1 ” for “is female” and “ J^1 ” for “is a unicorn”, then this model is a situation where Lucretia’s human, Lucretia and Neko are female, Neko and Jack are cats, and nobody’s a unicorn. We could think of the extension of a predicate as all the ‘cases’ of the feature discussed: all the examples (in this situation) of human-ness, cat-hood, female-ness, and unicornicity.

That suggests a natural adaptation of the semantics to relations: the extension of a relation will likewise be just the cases of that relation appearing in a given situation. For example, the extension of “likes” will be just the cases of liking occurring in that context. If in a certain situation Neko likes Jack and Jack likes Lucretia, there are two cases of liking going: one involving Neko and Jack, another involving Jack and Lucretia.

We might consider adding that to the model as follows (translating “likes” as “ K^2 ”).

☠ Extension of “ K^2 ”? ☠

G^2ab : **a likes b**

G^2 : { {**NEKO, JACK**}, {**JACK, LUCRETIA**} }

But keep in mind a point made earlier: it may be true in a certain situation that “Neko likes Jack” but false in that situation that “Jack likes Neko”. Hence those two sentences report **different cases** of liking, which can’t be slopped together in the semantics – on pain of confusing true sentences with false ones.¹

To stress the importance of who’s doing what in a certain case, we use **ordered pairs** in the semantics to depict a particular case of a relation – marked as ordered by using angle brackets “ $<$ ” and “ $>$ ”. So just as “Neko likes Jack” and “Jack likes Neko” make different claims, the two ordered pairs in the following extension are **different** cases of liking – the first where Neko likes Jack, the second where Jack likes Neko.

G^2 : { **$< \text{NEKO, JACK} >$** , **$< \text{JACK, NEKO} >$** }

Likewise with a three-place relation: it’s one thing for Trixie to give Old Reliable to Trixie, and something else for Trixie to give Old Reliable to Kitty. In the following model, with only one **ordered triple** in the extension of “ K^3 ,” Kitty gave Old Reliable to Trixie and nobody gave anything else to anybody.

K^3abc : **a gave b to c**

\mathbb{D} : { **KITTY, OLD RELIABLE, TRIXIE** }

d: **KITTY** e: **OLD RELIABLE** f: **TRIXIE**

K^3 : { **$< \text{KITTY, OLD RELIABLE, TRIXIE} >$** }

¹ The technical worry here turns on the fact that sets with exactly the same members are counted mathematically as one and the same set. For example, the sets {**Neko, Jack**} and {**Jack, Neko**} count in set theory as the same set, just depicted in two different ways. By contrast, **Neko’s liking Jack** isn’t the same as **Jack’s liking Neko**. So just listing the actors in a set leaves out the important matter of who’s the ‘doer’ and who’s the ‘do-ee’.

In general: the semantic value of an n -place relation letter in a particular model is the **extension of that n -place relation letter**: a (possibly empty) **set of ordered n -tuples of objects** from the domain of that model (so: order pairs for a 2-place relation letter, ordered triples for a 3-place relation letter, and so on).²

The semantic value of an n -place relation letter in model M is a (possibly empty) set of ordered n -tuples of objects drawn from the domain of M .

So the sentence “ G^2ab ” is true in a certain model if and only if the extension of “ G^2 ” includes an ordered pair of objects where the first member of the ordered pair is the object named “**a**,” and the second member of the ordered pair is the object named “**b**”. And sentence “ G^2ab ” is false in a model if that ordered pair doesn’t appear in the extension of “ G^2ab ” in that model.

An ordered n -tuple of objects can have the same object show up more than once. For instance, the following is an ordered pair where Neko holds both positions.

< NEKO, NEKO >

And that’s a good thing, for in a situation where Neko likes herself, Neko is both ‘liker’ and ‘like-ee’. Hence a model where the sentence “Neko likes herself” (or formal translation “ G^2aa ”) is true is a model where the above ordered pair shows up in the extension of “likes” (or of the formal translation of “likes” – say, “ G^2 ”).

² Though we earlier stressed that predicate letters are just 1-place versions of relation letters, in the case of semantics for a predicate letter we leave out the useless ‘ordering’ found in an ‘ordered’ 1-tuple such as <**2**>, and populate the predicate letter’s extension with the object itself – in this case, **2**.

Likewise in the 3-place relation sentence “__ likes __ more than __” the same name can fill more than one place. In the following model the sentence “Jake likes Jezebel more than he likes himself” is true.

K^3abc : **a likes b more than c**

Jake likes Jezebel more than he likes himself K^3aba

\mathbb{D} : { **JAKE, JEZEBEL** }

a: **JAKE** b: **JEZEBEL**

K^3 : { **< JAKE, JEZEBEL, JAKE >** }

Whereas in this model the formal sentence “ K^3bab ” (“Jezebel likes Jake more than she likes herself”) and “ K^3abb ” (“Jake likes Jezebel more than she likes herself”) are **false**.

Jezebel likes Jake more than she likes herself K^3bab

Jake likes Jezebel more than she likes herself K^3abb

Summary: Relation Semantics

Relation letter semantics:

The extension (the semantic value) of an n -place relation letter in model M is a (possibly empty) set of ordered n -tuples of objects drawn from the domain of M .

(For example: the extension of a **2-place** relation letter in a certain model is a set of **ordered pairs** of objects from the domain of that model; the extension of a **3-place** relation letter is a set of **ordered triples** of objects from the domain of the model; etc.)

A formal sentence composed of an n -place relation letter, followed by n many name letters, is true in a model just in case the objects named by those name letters appear in an ordered n -tuple of objects (in that order) in the extension of that relation letter.

(For example: the sentence “**G²ab**” is true in model M if and only if the extension of “**G²”** contains an ordered pair whose first member is the object named “**a**” and whose second member is the object named “**b**”.)